Page 3

Dkt: 884.055US1

32. (Amended) [The computer of claim 31] A computer comprising:

a processor;

a computer-readable medium; and

a computer program capable of being executed from the computer-readable medium by the processor to modulate a transparency of an image of an object as a function of an angle of incidence of a vector at a surface of the object, the vector being normal to a viewing surface, wherein the function comprises a cosine function.

34. (Amended) [The computer of claim 31] A computer comprising:

a processor;

a computer-readable medium; and

a computer program capable of being executed from the computer-readable medium by the processor to modulate a transparency of an image of an object as a function of an angle of incidence of a vector at a surface of the object, the vector being normal to a viewing surface, wherein the function comprises a non-linear function.

37. (Amended) [The computer readable medium of claim 35, wherein the method further comprises:] A computer readable medium having computer-executable instructions stored thereon for performing a method, the method comprising:

modulating a transparency of an image of an object as a function of an angle of incidence of a vector at a surface of the object, the vector being normal to a viewing surface; and modulating the transparency non-linearly.

REMARKS

The Applicant's representative has reviewed and considered the Advisory Action mailed on January 27, 2003. Claim 20 stands allowed. Claims 21, 23, 25, 27, 29-31, 33, 35, and 36 have been cancelled. Claims 22, 24, 26, 28, 32, 34, and 37 have been amended. As a result, claims 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 32, 34, and 37 are now pending in the Application.

SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT & RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 - EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

Serial Number: 09/210,055

Filing Date: December 11, 1998

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR CONTROLLING IMAGE TRANSPARENCY

Page 4

Dkt: 884.055ŪS1

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C.§102

Claims 21, 23, 25, 27, 29-31, 33, and 35-36 have been rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as

being anticipated by Shinohara (U.S. Patent No. 5,880,735, hereinafter "Shinohara"). However,

all of these claims have been cancelled. Therefore the rejection is moot.

Claim Objections

The Examiner has objected to claims 22, 24, 26, 28, 32, 34, and 37, but has indicated they

would be allowable if amended to incorporate the limitations of rejected based claims. Thus,

amendments have been made to incorporate the limitations of independent base claims, and not

for any reason related to patentability. Claim 37 has also been amended to correct several

antecedent basis errors.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all pending claims are now in condition for allowance.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the Applicant's attorney, Mark Muller, at (210) 308-5677,

or the undersigned attorney, to facilitate prosecution of this application. If necessary, please

charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN DAVID MILLER

By his Representatives,

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

P.O. Box 2938

Minneapolis, MN 55402

(612) \$71\2109

Reg. No. 35,635

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: Box AF, Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on this 20 day of February, 2003.

Anne M. Richards

Name

Signature